



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,112	07/11/2001	Cem Basceri	MIO 0057 PA (98-1070)	1085
7590	07/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P. Suite 500 One Dayton Centre Dayton, OH 45402-2023			KENNEDY, JENNIFER M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/904,112	BASCERI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812

All Participants:

(1) Jennifer M. Kennedy.

Status of Application: Appeal

(3) _____.

(2) Susan M. Luna.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2005

Time: 3:00pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Jennifer M. Kennedy
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative to request a copy of the IDS filed 10/5/2001, which had been initialed and returned to Applicant's representative in the non-final action mailed July 19, 2002 (noted on Office Action Summary). The examiner explained that the initialed IDS had been lost during the transition of the paper case to an electronic case. On July 1, 2005 Applicant's representative faxed a copy of the signed and initialed IDS (10/5/2001), the IDS being signed and dated on 4/2/2002 and mailed 7/29/2002 was properly considered properly in accordance with MPEP 609. The examiner has attached a copy of the IDS to be scanned into the file in order to complete the application history.